

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/711,140	08/27/2004	Ching-Hung Kao	NAUP0622USA	5139
27765	7590 03/20/2006 .		EXAMINER	
	MERICA INTELLECT	NGUYEN, TRAM HOANG		
P.O. BOX 50 MERRIFIEL	, VA 22116		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2818	

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/711	,140	KAO, CHING-HUI	NG				
		Examin	er	Art Unit					
		Tram H	. Nguyen	2818					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	he cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. Itory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNevent, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MG application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 09 February 2	2006.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4 and 15-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-14 is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or electior	n requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
· · · / <u> </u>	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
· ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	or foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
-/1	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have b	een received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies o				l Stage				
	application from the Internation	•			•				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	•		ot received.					
Attachmen									
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	O 049)	•	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date					
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date	·		of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Art Unit: 2818

Response to Applicant's Arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by Gau et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,882,029) (hereinafter Gau).

Regarding to **claim 1**, Gau discloses: a junction varactor (fig. 4) comprising: a gate finger (reference numeral 102) lying across an ion well of a semiconductor substrate (reference numeral 100); a gate dielectric (reference numeral 102b) situated between said gate finger and said ion well; a first ion diffusion region with first conductivity type (reference numeral 116) located in said ion well at one side of said gate finger, said first ion diffusion region serving as an anode of said junction varactor (reference notation Anode).

Gau also teaches a first lightly doped drain (LDD) having said first conductivity type in said ion well, and wherein said first LDD merges with said first ion diffusion region and extends laterally to said gate finger (col. 5, claim 2, lines 2-4); and a second ion diffusion region with a second conductivity type (reference numeral 112) located in

Art Unit: 2818

said ion well at the other side of said gate finger, said second ion diffusion region serving as a cathode of said junction varactor (reference notation Cathode).

Regarding to **claim 2**, Gau discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention; plus, the ion well has said second conductivity type (fig. 4, reference numeral 112; col. 5, lines 26-27).

Regarding to **claim 3**, Gau discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for the reason above; furthermore, the said ion well is electrically isolated by shallow trench isolation (col. 4, lines 13-15).

Regarding to **claim 5**, Gau discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for reason above; Gau further teaches a first/second lightly doped drain (LDD) having said first/second conductivity type in said ion well, and wherein said first/second LDD merges with said first/second ion diffusion region and extends laterally to said gate. (col.6, lines 26-30).

Regarding to **claim 6**, Gau discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for reason above; moreover, Gau also teaches said junction varactor comprising a spacer located on sidewalls of said gates (col.6, lines 31-33; fig. 4, reference numeral 102a).

Regarding to **claim 7**, Gau discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for reason above; and also disclose the said junction varactor comprising a salicide layer (fig. 4, reference numeral 103) formed on said gate and on said first and second ion diffusion regions(col. 3, lines 47-67).

Art Unit: 2818

Regarding to **claim 8**, Gau discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for the reason above; except that Gau does not explicitly teach when operation, said gate of said junction varactor is biased to a gate voltage V_G that is not equal to 0 volt. However, it refers to an operational limitation and any such limitation must distinguish from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function, In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997); See also In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d210, 212-13, 169 USPQ 226, 228-29 (CCPA 1971; In re Danly, 263, F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959).

Regarding to **claim 9**, Gau discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for the reason above; except for the said gate finger is a metal gate. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose the gate material as metal or poly-silicon, since applicant has not disclosed that metal gate solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with metal/poly-silicon material.

Regarding to **claim 10**, Gau discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for the reason above; and Gau furthermore teaches the said gate is a poly-silicon gate (col.3, line 36).

Regarding to **claim 11**, Gau discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for the reason above; in addition, Gau also teaches said conductivity type is N type and said second conductivity type is P type (col.6, lines 19-21).

Regarding to claim 12, Gau discloses: A junction varactor (fig. 4) comprising:

Art Unit: 2818

An N well formed in a semiconductor substrate (reference numeral 100); a first gate finger lying across said N well (reference numeral 102); a first gate dielectric interposed between said first gate finger and said N-well (reference numeral 102b); second gate finger lying across said N well at one said of said first gate finger (reference numeral 101); second gate dielectric interposed between said second gate finger and said N-well (reference numeral 101b); a P⁺ ion diffusion region located in said N well between said first and second gate fingers, said P⁺ ion diffusion region (reference numeral 112) serving as an anode of said junction varactor.

Gau further discloses a P type lightly doped drain (PLDD) merging with said P⁺ ion diffusion region (reference numeral 112) and extending to said first gate finger (reference numeral 102) and said second gate finger (reference numeral 101) (col.3, lines 33-36); a first N⁺ ion diffusion region (reference numeral 116) located in said N well at one said of said first gate that is opposite to said P⁺ ion diffusion region; and a second N⁺ ion diffusion region (reference numeral 114) located in said N well at one said of said second gate that is opposite to said P⁺ ion diffusion region, wherein said first N⁺ ion diffusion region and said second N⁺ ion diffusion region are electrically couple together and serve as a cathode of said junction varactor (col. 3, lines 56-58).

Regarding to **claim 13**, Gau discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for the reason above except that Gau does not explicitly teach in operation, said first and second gate fingers of said junction varactor are biased to a gate voltage V_G that is not equal to 0 volt. However, it refers to an operational limitation and any such limitation must distinguish from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function, In re

Art Unit: 2818

Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997); See also In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d210, 212-13, 169 USPQ 226, 228-29 (CCPA 1971; In re Danly, 263, F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959).

Regarding to claim 14, Gau discloses all the limitations of the claimed invention for the reason above except for the gate voltage V_G is V_{CC} . However, it refers to an operational limitation and any such limitation must distinguish from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function, In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997); See also In re Swinehart, 439 F.2d210, 212-13, 169 USPQ 226, 228-29 (CCPA 1971; In re Danly, 263, F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959).

Remark.

3. In response to the communications dated 02/09/2006, claims 1-17 are active in this application.

Claim 4 has been cancelled.

Claims 15-17 have been withdrawn.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tram H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571)272-5526. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)272-1787. The fax numbers for all communication(s) is (703)872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-1625.

> **Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800**

Page 7

Tram H. Nguyen Art Unit 2818 03/01 / 06